HERMENEUTIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
PERSONAL AND SOCIAL ETHICS IN CHRISTIAN
RELIGIOUS COMMUNITIES IN THE SOCIETY

Daniel Slivka*

University of Prešov in Prešov, Greek-Catholic Theological Faculty, Department of Historical Sciences and Department of Philosophy and religious Studies, st. bishop Gojdič 2, 080 01 Prešov, Slovak Republic

(Received 12 February 2018, revised 16 April 2018)

Abstract

This paper discusses the hermeneutic relationship between personal and social ethics in Christian religious communities in the society. Ethics or a moral value is the division of Philosophy that comprises organizing, preserving, and applauding perceptions of correct and incorrect behaviour. As a division of Philosophy, Ethics examines the queries ‘What is the greatest manner for individuals to live?’ and ‘What activities are correct or incorrect in precise situations?’ In exercise, personal and social ethics try to find a resolution to questions of human standards, by outlining conceptions like decent and wicked, good and bad, equity and iniquity, integrity and misconduct. As a field of logical probe, decent value also is associated with the areas of moral sensibility, expressive morals, and value theory. Definition of the word ethics appears to be a divergent term since several explanations are related to it.
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1. Introduction

Ethics can be defined as a mutual human capability to deliberate about ethical difficulties that is not specific to Philosophy [1]. Personal ethics is a set of thinking, which decides what an individual considers about principles and good and bad [2]. This is typically notable from business morals or lawful morals. These subdivisions of Ethics arise from outside organizations or governments, not the person’s sense of right and wrong. Personal ethics can also be seen as the simple principles and morals that control relations among persons [3]. Comprehensive personal ethics are characteristically those that clearly impact the familiarity of others when used to regulate a person’s societal or business-related deeds, and at the very slightest, such ethics should not have an undesirable influence on others. To understand personal ethics clearly, this example explains how it applies; after the disgrace, Steven felt sorry that he had
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acted so gravely and assured himself under no circumstances to infringe his own personal ethics so deliberately.

Social ethics are the theoretical or honourable ideologies that, in one way or another, characterize the shared understanding of people and cultures [1, p. 5-14]. Social ethics are the theoretical or honourable ideologies that, in one way or another, characterize the shared understanding of people and cultures [2]. Social ethics rules embraced by a social order are the consequence of human conceptions is made with the intention to uphold a decent community association and coherence. Social ethics is pertinent in a community and occasionally have a life of its peculiarity. Attribute that appears relies on the values and traditions relevant in places where a community dwells. Then the beliefs are still predisposed more by the mentality of the indigenous community same as the locality and physical situations in which communities live. In every single community, there are specific predominant social ethics [4]. Therefore, each individual who lives with affiliates of the community must be keen to observe all types of guidelines and codes of conduct. The aim is, of course, to form a symphonic life, particularly with the other members of the community [5]. Every action taken has to be in conformance with the existing social moral in the area. This understanding applies globally everywhere people live.

Even though different denominations contrast on many sacred doctrines, they do have comparable Christian’s essentials. The significance of belief over decent works, the supremacy of the Scriptures and the use of only two preparations are some of these communal structures. Religion is a very individual familiarity [6]. On the internet, individuals try to condense their own religious conviction or someone else’s belief, and in so doing, they may be serving you either inappropriate or personal information. In personal ethics practice by Christians, it is widely observed as doing what is honest before God. Christians believe individual values are rooted in the inner spirit or consciousness. It is a situation of being in a condition of self-judgment in doing the right or wrong thing when nobody is monitoring one’s conduct. Individual ethics have special meaning for Christians because they are seen as a consequence of one’s personal, saving relationship with God [4, p. 135].

Connecting faith and everyday life, Christians construct a sacred obligation to carry out personal ethics, particularly uprightness and truthfulness, in life. Christian’s characteristics create an interest in ethical conduct in many ways. Personal values of Christian religion are manifested in several ways. In the first place, Christians perceive daily activity as an arena in which they are to be submissive to God, and witness to God’s work in their lives. Spiritual commitment is not complete to Sunday worship and the church community; a mutual subject in Christian’s considerations about their work and confidence. Christians observe salvation as predominantly an individual problem, and, respectively, take their religious distinctiveness, as somewhat that should be supported along as each person go into irreligious scopes of life. An extensively appealed caution amongst Christians is to “do everything as unto the Lord” [7].
A second way of expressing conservative Christians’ personal conduct is by supporting what is right and not standing for what is wrongs. Christians have confidence that they witness to the realism of God and God’s supremacy in their lives by accepting existing situations in the community, which they believe in to demonstrate that unconditional morality can and should control human behaviour. According to Christians, having a taking a stance on moral principles will put questions in the awareness of the self-interested, unprincipled pleasure seeker, which they take as a usual individual [8]. By far the most frequently stated manner of undertaking concerns is through trustworthiness in their dealings with the members of the community and how they account for their responsibilities. In addition, it is particularly significant to check the certainty of God in their lives through upright and credibility even when no one would realize about it. By indicating they have adopted biblical values, they ratify that God is in existence and is working in the world to change them. A good way of showing this virtue is supported by Scripture, which says to do all that you do as unto the Lord. For this reason, Christians do engage in their responsibilities with concern to God and man [6].

Another way in which Christians show upright and credibility is to shun ‘going along with the crowd’ on concerns that could be seen as pilfering others. Being careless in one’s responsibilities one of these issues: Since one says that he or she is Christian, there is no reason for them to be negligent. Much is expected from a Christian individual. If one is going to be serious about his or her responsibility and if they are observing teachings from the Bible, they should not show carelessness and have to be reliable. A different associated way of showing issues with enthusiasm is how Christians should be unique at their responsibilities. They should not strive to beat others out of their time at all. They should do their best they can inspire to lead a life of Christ. An honest Christians should always stand for the truth at all cost. A personal moral does not allow any form of misconduct in the form of being dishonest [9].

Another frequently stated manner of having a viewpoint on moral principles and thus perceiving to a larger exemplary conduct is through a self-sacrificial act in relation to other people. One can be tempted to live out their religious belief at the expense of other people. In a Christian’s opinion, such an action puts one’s faith in an intense reprieve and demonstrates their obligation to the splendid account in which God pursues personal affiliations with individuals. In relation to identified apprehension with forgoing personal benefits for the good of others, practically all Christians has to put their faith to work by stressing personal, considerate relationships ahead of personal achievement.

The community has religious significance since it is a place for placing individual relations first. Christians believe that they are creating the Kingdom of God through their personal connections with the people they interact with on a daily basis [10]. In addition, this is predominantly significant for Christians in the community since they are certain they are more probable to encounter non-Christians. By being wary of individual associations, they are experiencing the splendid account in which God is looking to create good personal relations with
individuals and among peoples. Christians most often appreciate this emphasis on relationships as a responsibility for trustworthiness and self-sacrifice in affiliations. The major way that faith has an impact on responsibility is by treating people fairly and do not attempt to take advantage of them.

2. A current review of research on the topic

Social beliefs and morals in Christian religion appear to be a debatable subject as the cultural practices in relation to Christian’s views are different in nature. Some people see them not to be correct values for a society to rely on. This viewpoint is always taken by other denominations like the Catholic Church, which differs a lot with the Evangelical Church. The social ethics in a Christians Church began to undergo through several reforms during the early centuries [4, p. 146]. However, the reforms have been accorded to adhere to human understanding as it considers the significant aspects of an individual. The social ethics in Christian religion can be looked in various perspectives of education, political roles, science, social teachings, kinships, difference in gender, and logical and work morals [11].

All Christian Churches agree to the marriage of their clergy. This is different from what in Roman Catholic Church (but not Byzantine Catholic Churches) where clergymen are not allowed to marry. This implies that the relatives of several members of the Christians clergy are capable of assisting in the growth of intelligent leaders. Traditionally, the responsibility of women in Church life as a clergy, and as theologian continued to be restricted [12]. The role of women extended with time and was closely connected with the activities for common teachings and rights in voting. However, in the current Christians society, women are allowed to be part of Church leadership like in the Church of England [13]. After several criticisms on minority sexuality since the 1990s, Evangelical churches have developed to be inclusive of everyone without discrimination.

The Christians perception of God and man permits followers to use all their God-given abilities, comprising the power of motivation. This implies that Christian’s followers are stimulated to discover the creation of God and, according to the Bible, one should use it in an accountable and justifiable manner. Thus, a cultural feeling has been created that impressively increases the development of the humankind and the sciences. Another result of the Christians consideration of man is that the followers, in appreciation for their determination and restoration in Christ, are to obey the directives of God [14].

Diligence, prudence, calling, self-control, and a strong intellect of accountability are at the heart of Christian’s ethical code. Artisans, entrepreneurs, and other capitalists were capable of reinvesting the larger part of their proceeds in the most resourceful equipment and the most up-to-date production techniques that were established on advancement in sciences and technology [15]. This is analogous to what happens in the evangelical world. Productivities are able to increase, which leads to rising in profits and allow
employers to pay improved salaries. In this manner, the economy, the sciences, and technology are capable of supporting each other. The opportunity to play a part in the economic achievement of technological developments has been a solid inducement to both discoverers and financiers. The Christian work ethic has always been a vital influence behind the unintended and unorganized mass accomplishment that has influenced the growth of entrepreneurship and the revolution in industrialization to the modern achievements [16, 17]. The success of Evangelical achievements through the effect of thought and ethics in work can be related to wealthy families like the Roosevelt and Forbes, who are Mainline members of Evangelical churches.

The earliest scientists were predominantly Evangelicals who had a vision of science. Their tireless efforts in making discoveries were inspired by the desire to simplify things. This was believed to be realistic through the use of science as a form of assistance. The connection between improved science and Christians values has enabled rapid developments in science as a way of understanding God’s creation. This is similar to what happened during the early eras of Evangelicalism according to Merton Thesis, which asserts that changes in Science occur because of a growth of opinions and progress in trial practices and methodology [18].

Christians often hold conventional political opinions. For example, most of them do not support abortion. They are in support of punishment like capital punishment (but not the same), the right to own guns, and freedom of religion and lower taxes. They also frequently believe in prayer in schools, tougher national security. The developments in a democracy have been supported by Christians who stand for equality. According to Christians, living in a free country is seen to be vital to allow people to voice their opinions. This is what democracy advocates for where the rights and freedoms of people must be observed by the government [10]. For example: Evangelicals Churches have all the time felt grateful to support people. They have established hospitals, learning institutions, organizations that provide aid to developing nations, and other social welfare supports. During the nineteenth century, in the Anglo-American world, several devoted members of all evangelical denominations were vigorous in social restructuring activities such as the abolition of slavery, reforms in prison and women rights to vote. The German Chancellor, Otto von Bismarck, in the nineteenth century introduced indemnification programs, which resulted in the welfare condition. The Chancellor viewed his action as a practical Christianity. These programs, too, were imitated by several other countries [19].

At the moment of salvation, a human being believes in God and receives Christ as Saviour. One will only have eternity in heaven if he or she is saved and the person is considered to be born again [20]. Looking at the two sets of Christian ethics shows that the Churches is committed to upholding strong moral ethics with the general motive being doing good for the humanity. When compared to Kierkegaard’s interpretation [21-25], the stance of Christianity on issues of economy, politics, and socialism has predominantly focused on the need to do what is acceptable to everyone irrespective of one’s background [10].
Christianity advocates for a society with high moral standards, which does not have a negative impact on humanity. The relation between social and personal ethics in the Churches applies the same understanding in developing a harmonious environment for every individual [26].

In personal ethics, self-denial is encouraged if for it to help people who are not in a good position to help themselves. Such an action is seen as a service to God and other individuals for a person’s development. It is like a mutual assistance, but the aim is not for personal satisfaction in doing good but doing what God want people to do. This action is practical to what the larger community of the Evangelical Churches believes in. Christianity have a kind character of assisting other people, which is mostly done by financing activities of developing countries, helping the aged people, and developing welfare systems in poor areas. Therefore, one can strongly assert thinking on an individual consciousness, and communal belief in Christianity towards helping others is done with the main goal of helping others [27].

As always, every Christian denomination has been in support of doing what is good for the entire community. Christians will not permit the practice of something, which is not of good to every possible party involved in a situation. The Christian Churches do not support activities like abortion, which is seen to be outside the good social morals [28]. The shared belief among Christians is that abortion is an act of committing murder, which is condemned in the ten commandments of God, who is the supreme judge of every deed of humans [1, p. 38-50]. On an individual opinion, Christianity cannot be in line with any form of act that is against the laws of God. Trustworthiness is a vital virtue according to individual belief, which dictates how people undertake their responsibility. The shared belief among Christians agrees with this notion as it is believed that by doing something honestly, one can be trusted with something big that will have a great impact on the society at large [19].

3. Conclusions

During the 20th century of the Christian Churches, much interest was given to how the lives of people could be improved. This was achieved through changing how the society sees the women, improvement in the systems of education and how science together with work ethics would benefit the society at a larger scale. The development in education by the Christian Churches was to enable people to be able to read and understand the Bible on their own. Christian associations with scientific advancements were to improve the efficiency of the human operations. These areas of development in the community all agree on one thing of making daily activities favourable to every individual. Personal ethics in Christianity has a similar view of personally doing things, which will improve relationships with other people and mostly with God. Relationship with God is important in guiding one’s conduct in the process of being justifiable in doing activities, which are sought to improve people’s lives. For example, a Christians personally believes that by preaching to others to receive salvation, he
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or she is doing what is will change the life of a sinner forever to have eternity in heaven. Therefore, striving to do what will improve other people’s lives is a role, which must be done and not for self-actualization. From the discussion, it is evident that the social and personal beliefs in the Christians Churches act as a coordination of two different things with the same objective: personal ethics are observed through social ethics and social ethics to be observed through the personal ethics in Christianity. Therefore, it is true to say that the relationship between personal ethics and social ethics in Christianity have a similar agreement with the aim being having a harmonious environment for humanity.
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